

Feb. 8, 2015 (#1489)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Planned "Progress" Ignores Public Redress"

© Alan Watt Feb. 8, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Feb. 8, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on February 8, 2015. Agendas are very boring, especially if you're not let in on the agenda or consulted or given your opinion on anything. It's quite amazing how most folk of course will just accept the laws and rules and regulations and the changes that are, really, forced upon them from above or outside their control. And most accept it because, really, when everything comes down to it, everything is a choice, a choice as to what you want to understand even. Because a lot of understanding is scary to people, especially when you're brought up in a fake reality. By fake reality I mean they've taken everything that's been presented to them by authority figures as real, from the schooling system and everything you're told in school and believe in school, from the television that gives you the cartoons for children with lots of propaganda already built into the cartoons, they get grants for writing all this stuff in, and even in children's novels, preparing their minds for all things to change in the future, and as they're growing up they'll accept it because it's embedded in their psyche somewhere, if it's possible then it will happen, you see.

So we have predictive programming going on all the time, from thousands of sources. Especially today with the communications we have, way beyond television and cable TV and so on.

Nothing has been overlooked by those who are always in control. And don't ever believe it's just outside of their ability to control *everything*. They *can* control everything, and they do. The big boys in all ages have always controlled information. Always. For, oh, centuries in the feudal systems in Europe we find the church and the state were definitely combined together. Occasionally there were spats between people who wanted to overthrow a prince and take his place, and sometimes the Vatican would disapprove of it and then approve them once they are in, as long as they toed the line of the religion, which is also part of the culture and so on.

Most people forget that all peoples in all countries in all ages, really, followed culture, given to them at birth naturally, and thought it was all quite natural... It exists all around you therefore it must be quite a normal thing to exist, that particular culture that you're born into. Then everything around it will reinforce the fact it's normal, including the dominant system of rulership and the religion that often works hand-in-glove with it too. And again down through the ages the dominant religions would have spats with changes as nobility wanted more and more power for themselves, which really meant more and more loot and cash, because everything depended upon taxing the people, you see, everything.

To live awfully well in the world you have to become, technically, it's a beautiful doublespeak, a *public servant* of some kind, you're there for the greater good, and the servants get paid an awful lot more than the people they tax the money off of. Of course the folk who are paying for it all, who are always conned into believing they *are* the masters, especially in democracy, believe all this. They believe it. Especially today, it's more evident today than ever before.

The people, again, will suspend their incredulity on things when they read things in the paper about politicians giving themselves the right to do insider trading, because they get all the inside scoop on where to trade and what countries you're going to have little wars with or tariffs or embargoes on and so on, so you can get in on the ground floor. Or, say, if the government wants to take over areas for a new military base, things like that, so you want to get your relatives and friends in, if you're a politician, into the real estate business. Buy the land is cheap as can be, even pass laws to get the folk off the land by different techniques, and then you get the land for almost peanuts, and then you sell it to the military when that particular offer comes up. That even went on in Thatcher's era. There were scams in the newspapers in Britain that exposed all the time about her husband Dennis, Margaret Thatcher's husband, who always just happened to get the right place, and got it all for peanuts, before the military wanted this new base of this kind of that kind or whatever. Now, again, the US government gave themselves the right to do insider trading, trading which is illegal apparently for everybody else. And these are your servants, you see.

So reality is a constant reinforcement of the illusion that you get given to you, initially from your parents if they've swallowed the whole reality as it was presented to them. Then it's reinforced by you what you read, in cartoons or comics that you read. Then you go into school. Then from school onwards you're

indoctrinated into this reality with dates, times, figures, famous generals and big battles and so on, which really, most folk don't give a darn about because it seems irrelevant, and technically it is because all wars have been economic wars, for someone to get more than he already has, you see.

In feudal times it was quite evident about this. Queen Elizabeth the 1st is a fascinating history, good Queen Bess they called her, and how she taxed the people. When the Spanish, I mean the Spanish Armada came in. It wasn't just because England had gone so-called rogue or Protestant. It was because the English fleets, the privateers that all gave obedience to the Queen and gave her treasury a share of the loot, would be attacking the ships coming back from the Americas, as it was called then, with the gold and so on, and they were robbing them. They were buccaneers and privateers, freebooters, all kinds of names for that, and they were authorized to do so by the Queen of England. So these guys including Walter Raleigh and so on, became incredibly rich with the privileges they were given by the crown. And they plundered places. They were given lots of land, and in England too, that was taken off the peasants, all the common lands.

In fact, I think it was Walter Raleigh when he was made a Sir or Lord or something, he was given a big massive tract of land in England. The commoners were kicked off their common grazing ground to raise their own vegetables so they wouldn't starve to death since everything else was taken from them, and some of them moved back in afterward. I think they called them the diggers. But they wanted to go back and live on the lands and grow their food and so on, as common law had always had, going back to the Magna Carta and so on, even before that. And most of them were slaughtered in fact because of it.

So history to me, when I look through history, yeah we should get fascinated by discoveries by certain people and so on, and even in the scientific areas, things like that. But the history of the human race has been, really, plunder and pretty bloody, so that a few can always rule over a majority of the people, who makes things, actually make things that are practical or needed and so on, and the big boys take them off them and sell them. Things like that. So you can't live awfully... See, you can't with higher than anyone else, unless you've got a whole bunch of them working for you, under you, doing all the stuff that you would normally be doing yourself to survive. Very simple.

There's been many cons throughout history of systems. You'll find the same cons are used over and over again, when it comes to ultra-patriotism, when you want to go conquering countries and colonizing and being empirical, as they call it. It's always the same techniques that are used; very simple propaganda techniques of blaming the guys that you want to go and invade, in order to steal all their stuff. Not that the country is going to benefit from it, but those who control the country and the financial systems, and who already live off all the rest of the people, can live at a better standard of living.

Communism, by the way, used all of these statements and facts to try and get their system in, but that was another front. Communism is a front too, by the way, it was a front, well-funded by the ones in the West who already controlled the West. In Communism you found the same elite at the top, living off the rest of them, having everything that the rest of the people could not have at all. They had all the stereos in the 60s and so on from the West. They had *everything*. They had all the,

some of them even had Western cars, things like that. They had no restrictions. They could get out of the country and then back into the country. Their children could go abroad to Switzerland for schooling and things like that. You found basically it was the same kind of elite.

In fact, most defectors, like Yuri Bezmenov, admit that at his position in the KGB, belonging to a KGB family, it was inter-generational just like any elite in the Politburo and so on, you find that they always have the same story. It wasn't financial reasons that made them moved to the West as defectors. It was that they can get more done for themselves personally in life by joining the West for that period. Then a lot of them too, were found out to be double agents and triple agents and all this stuff. In the world of spies, believe you me, you couldn't trust anybody. So if anybody tries to con you into being an asset of any kind, don't go into it, folks, because you'll end up being a patsy or something. But you can't force folk to think about things for very long or in any depth at all these days. Because sting operations are always being set up with people who are a bit slow, put it that way, or gullible, very naïve, or have a genuine beef with something, and they don't understand the big powers at play. They are given, again, the basic essential propaganda that everyone's given, and they simply get angry about things, and that gets them nowhere.

You'll find that, as I say, in the Middle Ages even the peasants, all they knew was the religion, the order of things, given to them by religion, and the government. They had the town criers that would go around on horseback and dismount and read off their decrees to the people, all from the top again. So all they knew, really, was their little area they lived in. They might only have a 1 or 2 miles radius that they had ever lived in their life and traveled around in. Because in the serfdom days you couldn't move off the land and go strolling. You had to stay within boundaries, or you were marked, basically, and you could be executed or hung on the spot, because you were owned. They don't like to use the word slavery, they like to call it *serfdom*, you see. It sounds better to our ears today, which again, have been far more sophisticatedly dumbed down to accept the present reality.

See, in the old days guys on horseback who were knights and all the armor, etc. and armies, could go around slaughtering willy-nilly. It took a lot of guys' paychecks for an army like that to keep it all going. Today it's much easier. You have a completely different technique, generally, to use domestically upon people, and that's the indoctrination process, which I've mentioned many times and quoted it and read off the books of many authors of the past, who are often dead, who gave us our present reality. They planned the future. For their part of their lives, they planned the future. And that included fashion by the way, what kind of music you listened to, how they would radicalize things to destroy the old, bring in the new, and also mind bomb you with so much stuff that you would be an eager participant in what was called changes, you see.

The 21st century, this one that we are in today, is to be the completion of a complete world order run by very old families, a few newcomers into it if they have managed to stay on the straight and narrow and not get lost in the drugs, booze and all the rest of it. So some new multi-, multi-, multi-, multi billionaires or trillionaires are allowed into it. But traditionally it has been within the proper families, as they say.

I've mentioned Carroll Quigley many times who was a historian for what became the dominant group for running the world. He wrote mainly, but not always, he wrote mainly on the American branch of it which they call the CFR, the Council on Foreign Relations. Did he gave some of the start-up story to it too in his book **Tragedy and Hope**. He also admitted that the parent company, or organization, a private club, was the Royal Institute of International Affairs. It sounds very, very authoritarian, very legitimate, but these are private organizations to which the elite of a country belong. It has many layers in it too, just like Freemasonry. In fact, Cecil Rhodes whose initial organization set the basis for this transformation into these organizations, talked about it being closely copied after the Jesuit order and Freemasonry, he said, because it would have a system of degrees and a need-to-know basis; that's what he was really referring to, a need-to-know basis.

But Carroll Quigley in the 1960s was given access to the archives section for the CFR for America's. He went through some of the history in **Tragedy and Hope**, his book, and also in another book he wrote called **The Anglo-American Establishment**, outlining the goals and plans to bring in a world order, run by, really, the proper people you might say, how they would have a guise of freedom for the people but in reality it would be run secretly, all major decisions were done secretly by very powerful rich consortiums of powerful people. And it hasn't changed today.

You'll find many of their members, in fact, like Woodrow Wilson the president of the US who was put in time for the Federal Reserve and World War I, who was really a puppet, a mouthpiece for Mendel-House. But Wilson put a book out about the new world order and the new order of things, and he also mentioned something that George Bush Senior and George Bush Junior brought out as well in their speeches to the public, which went over the heads of the public because it wasn't explained by the press who are in on the big secret and the big joke, where they redefined freedom, they called it 'the new freedom'. Well, Woodrow Wilson wrote that in his book in the early 1900s.

So the same agenda goes on regardless of the parties that you bring in, because Quigley also mentioned that since about the 1800s an organization had elected it's presidents to be put into the US, and prime ministers in Europe, since the late 1800s. So it doesn't matter what party that you think they belong to, it's only important that you follow a party because they will always be guided along the same track, because the guys at the top are members of the Council on Foreign Relations. Many people inside the bureaucracies are also members of the Council on Foreign Relations.

So we're living through an exact agenda. They talked about bringing in a world order. They didn't just mean a world order of peace, etc. or prosperity. They meant the world where the proper people, themselves, would dominate completely. And many of the members, the high members were into eugenics, big time. They funded the programs in eugenics. They funded the private foundations, the nongovernmental organizations, for research purposes, the Cold Spring Harbor in the States, New York, to do with breeding. If they could just breed the people to be more docile and more compliant than they wouldn't have problems in the future.

So we're being trained in this day and age that we're now *civilized*, you see, so they don't really have to come down on you like the old days where they would just send in the knights to slaughter a few dozen peasants to keep them in line. It's done psychologically today, the victims themselves don't know and therefore they are guided quite easily, and they are complacent, as always, the agenda stated they would be through massive psychological technique and indoctrination.

Now, if you go into Carroll Quigley again, who was the official historian for the American branch, the Council on Foreign Relations. They couldn't call themselves the 'Royal Institute' thing because 'royalty' wouldn't go down too well at that time in the US. This is page 950 of **Tragedy and Hope** and he says:

There does exist, and has existed for a generation, an international Anglophile network (*Alan: Which just means it doesn't have to be the English that run it but they're based in London.*) which operates, to some extent, in the way the radical Right believes the communists act. In fact, this network, which we may identify as the Round Table Groups, has no aversion to cooperating with the Communists, or any other groups and frequently does so. I know of the operations of this network because I have studied it for twenty years and was permitted for two years, in the early 1960's, to examine its papers and secret records.

So the organization already existed before they called it the CFR, beginning at least, *at least*, at least the more modern exposés of when it emerged into the *surface* of society under the guise of Cecil Rhodes foundation, for instance, where he was instructed by his own peer group, and actually his betters because they were richer than he was, in fact Lord Rothschild was a partner with them, to go into countries and take over, using the British Empire system, take over all the gold, diamonds and wealth of the world. But you find too, that with the big wars, which they helped, by the way, *to foment*. I mean, this group literally fomented the Boer War in South Africa so that Britain could take it over, but really for private interests, for all the wealth.

So you always have two versions bringing it together. You have the government using the military under the guise of, "oh the Boers have attacked the English," which wasn't true. It was the Jamestown Raid where they paid these guys to go in and attack the Boers, then claim that the Boers were after them, so Britain went in with the troops under a welcome excuse of protecting its citizens. But at the same time as they were doing that in the late 1800s they were fomenting anti-Germanic opinion, because they owned all the newspapers in Britain and elsewhere as well. They wanted a world war. How do you get change? You *make* things happen.

Marxism has the same thing. If we are evolving as a species then here's all the stages you'd have to go through to get the so-called perfect society. Well, you must force the changes through. They won't come by themselves so you *make* the events happen, including wars and so on. Because Quigley, again, I mean this guy lectured to the State Department, many of the top names. He pretty well laid it on the line, that you can get more done in five years of war, on a social basis and through laws and everything else, and taking rights away from the people, than you can in 50 years of peace, and persuasion and propaganda. And think how long you have been under the laws that have come in since the 90s. Like massive omnibus crime bills, that's what Canada got put through, which was an anti-

terrorist thing, *before* 9/11 happened in 2001. And all the countries were in on it too; Bill Clinton was putting the same thing through in the States, *before* 9/11.

So we've been at war for an awful long time. If you go back to Gulf War One and just continue it from there to the present, that's a long time. Look at all the changes that have happened, legally, and to do with your rights and everything else, and the rights of police, indeterminate holding of prisoners forever and ever without trial and so on, all the things that have happened.

you can get more done in 5 years of war than in 50 years of peace. Because you see, all wars change the societies that are in conflict with each other. They change them at home, often in the same way, with rationing, with more obedience to the state because you're in a struggle, you're told, and so on and so on. And out of that, it doesn't just go away back to what it was before the war. No, it stays the same and gets worse.

See, once you have a herd, of any creature, stampeding in fear, and rapid change... Remember, change is good, change is good, the mantra. This is a doctrine. Once you get them stampeding to change, and you are directing the direction of the change for the stampede, then there's no limit on the changes you can bring in, one after the other. You get the people to a stage where they just run, and accept, and accept, and accept, and accept. There's no time to digest information, examine it and think about it, and make your own mind up. Therefore *your country is at stake here, be terribly, terribly afraid*. They used the same techniques in World War II. The propaganda in World War II was pretty well the same as World War I, "your country needs you." The historians at the time right after them were writing about how they went off and signed up to go and fight for their country, *to save their country*, because they were told they had to *save their country and their culture and way of life*. Well, look at those countries that took part in World War I and II now. Hum.

It takes a lot to get countries in real war with each other. And there's an awful lot of preparation in the psyche of the population to get them prepared for it, sometimes years, and they don't even know it's happened to them. They're suddenly all geared up to see hatred for this enemy, it doesn't matter who they are, and off they go. It's years of preparation and, again, cultural change is always used. You take the Vietnam War with the US and you find that during that phase, that period, in came the hippie power at home, the drug culture. Again, I've gone into the history of this. It wasn't just happenstance from the people. Nothing is ever from the grassroots, unless it's a benefit to those in charge, anything else would be outside the plan, it might have changes that they can't predict and they would have to crush the grassroots movements. So in came the drugs, the free sex and all the rest of it. And again, these agendas were written down long before Vietnam.

So we're going through massive changes. Massive changes, all the time. When Vietnam was over it had already changed society back home. The opinions changed. People weren't going along with government as readily at the time as they had been before. The old way of life was kind of shattered when they found out so much deception had been used upon them. And again, the same power structure that brought it all on were still in charge, because they knew where they wanted the culture go and they were awfully successful in doing it, preparing it for

a world society.

You find too, that when Russia invaded Afghanistan, and the US was already involved in it, in fact they help bring on the problems that helped bring that on altogether. You'll find that after the long war that the Russians fought in Afghanistan their culture was vastly changed and *shocked*, actually shocked when the people came back with all the stories of what was really going on there and so on, and the kind of pointlessness of it all. They also brought back with them lots of drugs from the area, the opium areas and so on. And Russia never really recovered from that either. People's true indoctrinated faith in the Soviet system had been shattered as well.

Now, the big boys don't, ha, make things happen and say, oh goodness we didn't predict this. They do predict this and they make thing happen to get the desired changes through. We live in a really planned society, a planned organization of humanity, everything is planned. And the plan today is fulfilling a lot of its goals. It already has fulfilled a lot of its goals but there's still more to do, but they are already under way to fulfilling them, including the unification of the world's money system completely. Well, how else can you do it unless you make it appear as though there's massive crisis and everything is out of control and it's chaotic? And that's the impression that's always given to the public, who always turn to government and say, *oh do anything you have to do but save us*. And out comes the old dusty agenda, they blow off the dust, which has been lying on the shelves for years, now is the time to open it and they publish their findings.

And again, nongovernmental bodies all meet, at the private meetings in Basel, Switzerland and so on, and decide the future of your financial systems. Which remember, encompasses everything, your whole way of life and everything else. And you're not an individual... You cannot be individualistic in this system in any possible way. You can save up all the money you want to find it's worthless when the big boys that make it happen so, at the stroke of a pen. You know, money goes to money have been, apparently, it just disappears, we're told, by the top *experts*. Like 2008, that's what they said. What happened to the money? Oh, it just went to money heaven. That's their tongue-in-cheek joke. Well, who's their God then, eh? He's sitting on a pile of loot.

But you understand, nothing is really yours. And when it's backed with nothing anyway, you've got nothing left at the end of it, it just literally disappears. You're left with lots of paper. That paper could just as well be recycled into toilet rolls, then it would be worth something. Therefore money is always devalued, because it's a built-in structure of debt money. It's printed in debt, right away when it's printed you've got maybe 60%-70% of it already going to repay loans. Loans to whom? They don't actually give you anything, except they sign a check for your boys to print up the cash. It's a racket. It's a con. Because money is a system of control in its present form. And its present form has been here for an awful long time.

That's why we're going through all this hype, at the right time, of world in chaos again, financial systems in chaos again, something must be done... That's a great quote you find and lots of big players in the past, *something must be done*... Then the public immediately say, yeah, yeah, please do it, do it. But that's how it's done. Remember, the goal has always been to give the power into these private

organizations that already run the world, their money supply of the world, they are the lender to your governments, which are in bed with them, naturally. And you'll pay for everything down the road, so that they can all keep their massive high standard of living, which is a massive gap like never before. A massive gap, between people who actually make things and do jobs that matter as opposed to all those who run all the organizations and think tanks, and soak up your cash, and then decide for *you* what it's going to be worth.

Now, one of the main things that economists are told, because it's all based on optimism, isn't it, and future returns, regardless of the fake investments that they are doing, the bogus investments. They get the shareholders coming in, "oh you're all going to get rich down the road." It's all to do with future wealth, always, future utopia is for suckers, you see. But the first law is never to have a head of the country come out and be pessimistic about things, because then even all the petty shareholders will just draw the cash out of it and so on, and it really *brings on* the collapse. Because it's all bogus. It's literally a bubble built on optimism, investments. Back in 2008 when Bush Junior came out, on national television, and said, oh we could be going into a depression, even worse than the 1920s one. I mean, since he doesn't say a darn thing that isn't scripted for him, and no president does, or Prime Minister, who gave him permission to say something like that? You see. That was to make sure it all happened the way it went.

And since then we've been going through austerity measures, and your currency becomes devalued all the time, so is purchasing power, it goes down all the time and you'll see that the items that you would normally buy as staples are going up and up and up and up, massive increases. And it has not stopped yet. So you're back to the old thing that they expect you all to say, *something must be done...* And the big boys who planned all this a long time ago have already set up the institutions, privately. The Bank for International Settlements is owned privately. The International Monetary Fund, the World Bank are all as *one* club that runs all of these institutions. The European Central Bank, the central bank system was set up by them too and now they own the whole conglomeration of central banks. You see.

So therefore, we're going through a plan and you'll find again, if you go into Carroll Quigley, he talks about it, copiously, in the book **Tragedy and Hope**. There's a big story behind that too because the printers, the publishers eventually destroyed the plates of them, because he let a little bit too much out of the bag without permission, apparently. Although Quigley himself said that he wasn't opposed to any of its plans. He was opposed to some of the methods, he says; he doesn't explain what methods he was talking about. But he said that, on the whole it's a good plan. So he was an elitist himself. And he said himself that They have drastically changed the course of the world's history for over 100 years, he said, that it's time that the public should know about it. And he actually thought, I think, that the public would accept it, that this idea of democracy was obsolete and, you know, things like that. [Page 950 of **Tragedy and Hope**.]

I have no aversion to it or to most of its aims and have, for much of my life, been close to it and to many of its instruments. I have objected, both in the past and recently, to a few of its policies, but in general my chief difference of opinion is that it wishes to remain unknown, and I believe its role in history is

significant enough to be known.

And maybe he was right because the public, most of what they call the silent majority, they're pretty wise, a lot of them, to the fact that this is all a big joke, the politics. They've lived it long enough, many of them, to see party after party of different persuasions come in and the same agenda goes forth. And each one comes in and signs more treaties with the United Nations that bind them to the direction it's all to go into. The United Nations too was set up by this private club, the Royal Institute of International Affairs.

So let's look at the latest *something must be done* story, because it's time for them, you see. This is from the Globe and Mail and it says...

**New alarm bells over household debt as
Canada faces 'downward spiral'**

theglobeandmail.com / TAVIA GRANT / Feb. 05 2015

(A: *The downward spiral, eh. Oh we're plummeting down that wormhole, aren't we?*)

As Canada's economy begins to slow, the country's growing household debt burden is raising new concerns as it outpaces that of most developed countries.

In fact, Canada had the second-biggest jump in household debt-to-income ratios of any country other than Greece between 2007 and the second quarter of 2014, a new study says. (A: *A new study, that's how they put things out, a new study. As I say, by whom?*)

Canada and Australia along with a number of countries in northern Europe "now have larger household debt burdens than existed in the U.S. or the U.K. at the peak of the credit bubble," according to a new analysis.

The McKinsey Global Institute looked at 47 countries (A: *And who are they anyway? I mean, who is giving them authority? A global institute hey, who owns them?*) and identified seven with "potential vulnerabilities" in household debt that could lead to financial instability and a consumer spending slowdown: the Netherlands, South Korea, Sweden, Australia, Malaysia, Thailand - and Canada.

The study, to be released Thursday, comes amid mounting evidence of a sputtering economy. The country's GDP shrank in November, and last year's job growth has been revised lower while oil prices have slumped (A: *That's the pumps.*), restraining business development.

The report was based on data to the second quarter of last year.

Since then, several factors make the picture in Canada more stark: Household debt-to-income ratio rose further, to a record 162.6 per cent in the third quarter. House prices continued to climb, though the pace is slowing. And the Bank of Canada cut interest rates last month - with another reduction possible in March - moves that could spur even more borrowing.

What's a price, folks, for a house or anything else for that matter? It's someone's *idea*. Who can make it the price? Those in authority make it the price. Why are houses so incredibly expensive anyway for stick houses? You know, at one time people simply advertised their own houses for sale in the newspapers. Some people would come to their door and they'd look around them, ask the price and they gave them the price that they thought it was worth. So the deal was made between the buyer and the seller and the legalities were written up by a lawyer, which they still are by the way, you know, but it was a lot cheaper and the real estate guys were out of the picture. Today you have consortiums of, or chains if you like, of real estate agencies. And in the system everything is run by chains and investment companies and so on, therefore they have to jack up the prices of the houses to get the bigger cut off them when they're sold. Anything to do with money, ha, it brings in organization and the more any particular organization, the more expensive everything is going to become because they want a bigger and bigger cut of things. So in comes the middleman and that's you paying through the nose.

In the old days when the buyer and the seller negotiated the price, if the seller wanted too much for it he'd eventually get the message it was too expensive when everybody would turn them down, then he'd lower the price and lower the price, you see. But today it's all an idea. As I say, a price is an idea. And how do you get the idea? They don't have their own ideas anymore, they take it from the going price that they're told by, again, these big real estate agencies what the going price should be, you see. I mean technically you should be able to sell anything you have for whatever price that you can get for it. And if you decide on half of what of real estate agent's worth, because it's a more natural realistic price, then why not do it? I mean, anyway, you understand what I'm saying, you have the right to it.

But everyone wants more and more cash because everybody's dangling on the strings of the puppeteers who manipulate all the money system, the currency system, the purchasing power of your currency and so on. Everything that money touches in, especially, this modern system is pretty well corrupt. They'll never use that term of course, they'll say it's normal. But it's pretty well corrupt when they'll gouge. It's a parasitical system where everything is eating something below it on the ladder, so that they can have more than the one below on the ladder. They don't want to get down to *that* same rung on the ladder so they pass it on, they pass the buck, pass the buck, right down to the bottom. You see.

And that's an awful trait to bring into humanity but it's been here for a while. And since everyone is born with the instinct to survive, it's drummed into you, don't get poor because believe you me, *when you're poor nobody likes you and nobody wants you, and you'll die alone, etc., you'll suffer*. So you have an exaggerated sense of survivability to an extent. The psychopaths always take over such a system, to be at the top. And any antidote to it, which are all fake, like the communistic system, has the same system and the same psychopaths running their system, so they can live better than anybody else.

That's just the history of the world [Alan chuckles.] summed up, especially to do with money. Because money from the very inception has been a con, the way it's been run. It introduces a middleman between barter. A person who makes things

and barter it off for something else and so on, a middleman eventually decides what that's worth, when he has convinced you that that little bit of gold or whatever it is or silver is worth more or less than you think, you see. Before you had a good idea, you made this thing, it took you so many hours, I'll trade it for a bushel of so-and-so. But not anymore. It's all out of your hands. So your whole system of existence is taken out of your hands.

Today we're in massive big government, which is all part of the new freedom, and the New Society, and the Great Society, etc. etc., many terms for the same thing. I'll get back to this article and it says here...

The report was based on data to the second quarter of last year.

Since then, several factors make the picture in Canada more stark: *(A: This is repetition from the last one, the one before and all the other depressions. Like they never learned? But we're run by experts and professionals, with all these letters behind their names, etc., going to Princeton and all the top universities, eh? Really? What kind of quackery is this?)* Household debt-to-income ratio rose further, to a record 162.6 per cent in the third quarter. House prices continued to climb *(A: ...isn't this repetition...)*, though the pace is slowing. *(A: How many times have you heard this throughout your life? But they are the experts, apparently, and are all astonished at it.)* And the Bank of Canada cut interest rates last month – with another reduction possible in March – moves that could spur even more borrowing.

“What the financial crisis showed us is that when you have rising real-estate prices and rising household debt, it can be a deadly mix. *(A: That's why this guy has got his degrees, eh? For saying what we've heard 1000 million times in every other depression. And there's been lots of them, by the way. He says, but you.)* You have to manage each carefully,” Susan Lund, Washington-based McKinsey partner, said in an interview.

It is crucial to monitor household debt levels closely, she said *(A: Does government? It's always broke, apparently.)* – in particular, which segments by income or demographic group seem to be slipping under water. And policy makers need to tighten lending standards and reduce mortgage size limits when markets are overheated. *(A: Where have we heard all this before and before and before?)*

Of the central bank's rate cut, Ms. Lund said it's “tempting to try to spur growth through credit. But it makes the need to monitor debt more urgent.”

If left unchecked, the risk is that households will no longer be able to afford the debt they've taken on. *(A: Again, that's why they have the degrees, eh. Aren't you astonished, aren't you impressed, eh. Oh, this is an expert talking.)* They could either be vulnerable to foreclosures and bankruptcies *(A: By God, I understand the degrees now.)* – or they could slash spending to pay back debt. That in turn could reduce consumption, which could dent economic growth and trigger a recession – a “downward spiral,” according to Ms. Lund. *(A: I'm telling ya, why isn't she running the country, eh? We would never have gotten here in this mess. This is what they put out all the time. This is a major newspaper in an article that supposed to be informative.)*

The Bank of Canada has long held that rising household debt poses one of the biggest risks to the domestic economy – though it has also become increasingly focused on lower oil prices.

Residential mortgage credit grew by 5.3 per cent (A: *Well, I'm not going to go through all the statistics because this is all BS, as we all know, which is bothersome stuff, you know, BS. And it says, [Alan laughing.] listen to this...*)

Rising house prices have led to larger loans. (A: *No kidding!?! Oh wow! I tell ya, it's no wonder we're all sitting at the bottom counting our pennies while they're at the top there counting their millions a year, eh. Just didn't go to the right universities, I guess, to get the special degrees, you know.*)

The seven countries with household debt that “may be unsustainable” (A: *You see, unsustainable.*) have both the highest debt-to-income ratios and significant growth since 2007. (A: *Right.*) Canada is the exception in having better debt-service ratios, though that could change when borrowing costs rise. (A: *Well, I would think it might, yeah.*) In all, “these figures suggest potential risk but do not signal imminent crises.”

There is a caveat – Canada’s household debt numbers include the debt of unincorporated businesses, which is counted as corporate debt in other countries. That may inflate Canada’s numbers, though McKinsey notes that the trend lines still show continuous growth.

Now you are totally confused, eh? But this is the kind of stuff you're hit with by the *experts*. And this same article could go on back to 2008, and to times before that too, when the same thing happens over and over and over, you see. And the biggest borrower is government itself. A long, long, long time ago they weren't content with just taxing the people and living within a budget, they started borrowing from the same international banks, that help run the world, set up by the private organization the Council on Foreign Relations/Royal Institute of International Affairs, these private lenders, you see.

So that's what they give you for like a news breaking story. And we accept it all because were given no other option, except here's how it is, you dumbos, and here's the experts talking here. And they give you a lot of good common sense advice. But you can't really get it through common sense, you've got to go through many degrees in economics to understand all this, that's why we have so many bank crashes and great depressions and recessions, which are just depressions, and austerity measures. Because our experts... if it wasn't for the experts, you know, where would we really be, eh? Well, maybe your dollar would be worth a dollar, you could purchase the same things you could purchase a year ago, or 10 years ago, or 20-30 years ago with that dollar. Because is constantly devalued, because of the experts running the system. But it's always *your* fault, you're borrowing too much, you see.

Now, let's get back to Carroll Quigley who, he called it *the parallel government*, the *real* government and so on. This is the guy who taught guys who became president of the US. He taught many, many people who work in the different high-level departments of the US government and State Department and foreign offices

and so on. He was all for this private control of the whole planet. But he says too in **Tragedy and Hope**:

At the end of the war of 1914 (A: *That's the 1914-1918 war.*), it became clear that the organization of this system had to be greatly extended. (A: *...from the Cecil Rhodes organization he's talking about, you see, making the British Empire the model for the world, all run in private hands, not the public's.*) The task was entrusted to Lionel Curtis who established, in England and each dominion (A: *...that's the British Commonwealth countries. In fact, they actually coined the term 'the British Commonwealth', the Royal Institute of International Affairs.*), a front organization to the existing local Round Table Group. (A: *They had many names, you see, because they're so secretive.*) This front organization called the Royal Institute of International Affairs, had as its nucleus in each area the existing submerged Round Table Group. (A: *So they started using these roundtable groups for discussion and then they created this organization, with many levels on it.*) In New York it (A: *...the branch...*) was known as the Council on Foreign Relations, and was a front for J. P. Morgan and Company. In fact, the original plans for the Royal Institute of International Affairs (A: *For Britain and the Commonwealth countries.*) and the Council on Foreign Relations were drawn up at Paris." (A: *He's talking about that the end of World War I.*) [Tragedy and Hope, pp. 951-952]

The powers of financial capitalism has another far reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. [Tragedy and Hope, pp. 324]

Getting back to even President Wilson, he wrote a book, as I mentioned before, but the title of the book was called **The New Freedom**, published in 1913. He says, we're in a new world, in the new order, government and business must be associated closely. We stand in the presence of a revolution, and he goes on to say, it will come in a peaceful guise. Therefore, there's a long-acting... Literally we live in a script, I keep saying this, I've been saying that for years. We live through a script where it's all planned by think tanks belonging to one the club, that planned the future and how to get the public to go along with each step of it, and they even adapt it and fine tune it for different countries or cultures and so on, so they'll all get indoctrination towards what they think will be inevitability of the system coming in, you see. Everyone has had it; no one has escaped it.

Now, Professor Quigley, he talked about the foundations, tax-exempt foundations would fund much of this. They'd fund the organizations that would be pro- or against whatever system they set up, you see, demand things from government, laws to be changed, things to be like the environment that we have today, things like that, and environmentalism, to manipulate and control the people. So he goes on to say here those that

The apex of the system (A: *See, it's all financial, that's how everything's controlled.*) was to be the Bank for International Settlements (A: *...the BIS*) in Basel, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks [including the US Federal Reserve] (A: *...and now the Bank of Canada as well*) which were themselves private corporations. Each central bank sought to dominate its government by its ability to control Treasury

loans, to manipulate foreign exchanges, to influence the level of economic activity in the country, and to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards. (A: *The last part is awfully interesting, but we all know it.*) And to influence cooperative politicians by subsequent economic rewards. (A: *They are all on the take.*)

So this one organization, that has different names for different countries, runs and owns the central banks, it works and manipulates the economy of the entire planet and the internal economies of countries. They can cause depressions anytime they want, and they do, and they guide all politics because the top members are always theirs, *always theirs*. The ones that are supposedly against it are owned by them too, the Fabian Society's, etc. They run all sides of everything, all sides. I think Quigley even says, he says:

The argument that the two [political] parties (A: *They always give you two, what choice do you want on this, this or that, take your pick.*) should represent opposed ideals and policies, one, perhaps of the Right and the other of the Left, is a foolish idea acceptable only to the doctrinaire and academic thinkers. Instead the two parties should be almost identical (A: *What do they talk about when they run for election? in any country now? Jobs, jobs, jobs. Prosperity. Education. Unemployment benefits. Etc. etc.*) Instead the two parties should be almost identical, so that the American people can 'throw the rascals out' at any election without leading to any profound or extensive shifts in policy. [Tragedy and Hope, pp. 1247-1248]

That's interesting because that's what Thomas Jefferson warned about. He said, when you see the same agenda being carried out between changes in the house, the Democrats/Republicans, you see, the politicians, when different parties come in and go along with the same agendas, he says, KNOW YOU ARE UNDER TYRANNY. So there's nothing new in this at all, really, but it's awfully well done today. It's beautiful, isn't it? And they can always get, under this term democracy, they use all the authorized nongovernmental organizations that get heavily funded, completely funded by the big tax-free, or tax-exempt foundations, that go under the guise of charitable works, to demand from government the laws that they want changed, on behalf of the big corporations that own the foundations. Remember he said, it's a new feudal system, that's what Quigley said, where the overlords, the new feudal overlords would be the CEOs of big massive corporations.

And you have the World Economic Forum and all this kind of thing, where does it meet? Basil, Switzerland, like the BIS and so on. Everything that's happening including the manipulation of the economy, at all times, all times, is done on purpose by those who control it all, who further plans to bring in crisis, to amalgamate and go under one big system. And the public will thank them eventually, they'll be so sick of the financial depression and its consequences they'll thank them for it. And along with that will come all the new changes of the new freedom [Alan chuckles.] as Woodrow Wilson called it and George Bush Junior.

Now, one of the main organizations they use, again, privately owned by the same organization, to run the economies of the world, is the International Monetary Fund, that gives all the lending out with all the conditions that go along with the

borrowing and so on to the countries. They also use the World Bank. The World Bank, what's the World Bank? It's another private organization, run by the same bunch. And there already running the world, especially Third World countries, their economies and so on, pretty openly. But this says...

Hondurans Protest World Bank Mining Plan

telesurtv.net / 4 February 2015

(A: We can all protest all we want because it won't matter, it doesn't matter what country you're in.)

The Honduras government and World Bank representatives met in the Honduran capital of Tegucigalpa to sign deals to allow foreign companies to mine in the country.

The two-day conference, called "Sustainable Use of Mineral Resources," *(A: They're all sustainable, drummed into your ear, you know, the sustainable use of mineral resources... which is just the same old mining as always.)* gathered experts from Peru, Mexico, Chile, Spain, Bolivia, Argentina, Colombia, the United States and Honduras. They discussed their different experiences and promoted Honduras as a mining country. *(A: In other words, they're on the take, they're all bought off by the same Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations.)*

Mines in the country currently produce profits of around US\$300 million annually. But if the new plan promoted by the World Bank is approved, international private companies *(A: Guess who owns them?)* could raise more than US\$5 billion annually.

Foreign mining companies are present in 14 of Honduras' 18 departments. In 2012, the government granted 487 additional mining permits. Under a new agreement with the World Bank, another 839 are currently being reviewed.

"In the Syria Valley, 21 of the 23 rivers that existed in the municipalities of El Porvenir and San Ignacio have disappeared because of the high water demand required in the mining industry. *(A: Remember what comes out of the mines too, it's not just clear clean water anymore.)* And mining has dried the soil and in that area," said José Luis Espinoza, a mining specialist.

The disappearance of the rivers is a direct result of Canadian mining company Goldcorp's Entre Mares mine.

"The people have the right to water and to life. We have expressed ourselves very clearly. *(A: It doesn't matter what you express.)* We are against mines because under the mining project, there are five water wells for our community where 12,000 people get their water," explained Raymundo Funes, a protester from the municipality of Pespire, in the south of the country.

The new agreement signed with the World Bank will double the presence of international mining companies, without any community consultation. *(A: This is the new freedom and the new order, you know.)* This is part of

President Juan Orlando Hernandez's push to attract more investment in Honduras from international corporations.

These are select corporations, remember. If you go into the military-industrial complex, do you know how much of it is actually owned, all the big companies, all the familiar names that you have, by Goldman Sachs? Owned, and that's a whole story in itself. But anyway, you find the same thing with who they give contracts to, from the World Trade Organization, the World Bank and all the rest of it. It's their *own* boys. It's a big club. And the people in those countries have no more say than you do in your own country. They have no more say at all. It's quite something.

But again, this is the new freedom, the new order of things. Meanwhile [Alan chuckles.] as always, like any war. See, wars are economic too, and cultural and so on. So remember that in any war the folk who benefit are those who run the wars *at the top*. Not the general's and so on, they make it a cut, etc. but they don't really get into the top money, it's the big businesses behind it. And the general population just supply the troops, because we always breed them, you see, give them the proper indoctrination regardless of the country and they go off and get killed and get little gold, well, gold tin plated bits of metal and stuff to put on their chests. But they get nothing after that, they're just tossed aside. Expendable. And they're renewable as a resource, you know, breeding people, so there's always, no lack of young guys ready to go off and fight, never knowing, and actually not caring at that age either, but it's big corporations that are going to benefit from it. And the taxpayers back home will put in the railroad lines, the roads and so on for the corporations to get all their stuff out of the country, all the raw resources.

As I say, it's more sophisticated today than just sending armies in, you see. You do it through bribery, it's much more prevalent than folk would ever, ever imagine. And you do it through threats, because they do threaten people who won't go along with these big world agendas. Top men of small countries get assassinated if they won't go along with it, easy to replace, again. And that's the history of the world, the real history of the world. But you know, most folk don't care about the real history of the world, even as it's being made in their own lifetime. They are too well entertained, way too well. And remember, all entertainment, really, is to do with indoctrination as well, of ideas, and the update of your PC list for the week, and you adopt those new opinions and everything else too, without even knowing it.

It's the way things are, but as I say, the public really have been bypassed a long time ago. The only ones in democracy who have any say are the big organized groups that are well-funded, with their own CEOs by the way, these charitable NGOs, tax-exempt, funded by the big tax-exempt foundations, they pay the wages of the ones at the top. They even have their own office towers, some of them. And they get listened to by government because the governments are told the listen to them, they are authorized.

Now, don't let this kind of information depress you and make you pack in everything, just give up the ghost. Because you see, it's really always been like this. It's just that the technique of controlling you all, making you all think something else, it's pretty well perfected. And actually was perfected a long time ago too, with mass communication, television, generations of it, and before that

radio, it worked awfully well. The first ones to use any kind of communication are those already in power, to make sure the proper kind of indoctrination is given to each generation.

So once again, the only thing that you really own for yourself is your own mind, if you want to use it yourself. Most folk don't, because it's too convenient and easy to be downloaded by your entertainment and everything else than go out and look and accept or toss away things and come to your own conclusions. Because remember, truth can hurt you, when you find out that most of your reality is an illusion, your indoctrination is giving you an illusion, you can go through the same processes as when someone dies, close to you. So don't get depressed about it. Remember, it's always been like this.

And the people, if they do come to do anything or say anything or even influence people, it's one at a time. One at a time. And it can take a long, long time, even generations, for an idea to flourish. That's what they've always known, you see, and that's why they tried to nip it, all ideas, in the bud that are not authorized. Nip it in the bud right away and don't let it take off. But it also comes down to your participation. Like any good con, confidence trick, the person who is being conned must participate in their own con, you see. And if you participate in your own con and spout what you're told to spout, then you've got doublethink like they had in George Orwell's **1984**, where you can hold two conflicting opinions in your head and believe in both at the same time.

So it's up to the individual. And as I say, most folk, you know, really do... They've never had instant clicks and there's your entertainment for the night, all given to them. It stops them from thinking. Thinking can be dangerous to any big organization. When they were talking about reducing the work day back in the late 1800s, from 16 hours a day, that was normal workday, including Saturdays, to a couple of hours less, they had big debates in London, England, by the owners of the big massive factories of the problems it might cause, by communicating, having time and maybe more energy. Before they were exhausted after 16 hours and they stumbled home and the whole bit and by the time they had maybe eaten a crumb here or there they were in no mood to talk about anything, never had any energy for it. But if you give them more time they might start communicating, and that could lead to problems down the road.

But nothing's really changed. Only now you've got time off but you simply take it from movies and things on television, that are produced, produced by others and called programs, rather than think for yourself. But it is up to you, isn't it. Think for yourself. And if nothing else, at the moment, you'll know what's going on and you might, *might* not be able to share it with other people who are still in their fantasy. But you have to accept that and learn to think inside and live inside your head at times.

From Hamish and myself in Ontario Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[New alarm bells over household debt as Canada faces 'downward spiral'](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- BOOKS** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando
um Milagre....." ([Português](#))
- CDs** Ancient Religions
and History MP3
CDs: & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'
Part 1 (1998) and Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)
Part 2 (1998-2000)
- DVDs** "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,
Esoterica and ...TIME"